

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → ☐

PTO/SB/05 (4/98)
Approved for use through 09/30/2000. OMB 0651-0002
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b))

Attorney Docket No. **N 0071 US**
First Inventor or Application Identifier **DORUM**
Title **System and Method for Updating a Geographic Database Using Satellite Imagery**
Express Mail Label No. **E394276215845**

APPLICATION ELEMENTS

See MPEP chapter 600 concerning utility patent application contents.

1. ☒ * Fee Transmittal Form (e.g., PTO/SB/17)
(Submit an original and a duplicate for fee processing)
2. ☒ Specification [Total Pages **19**]
(preferred arrangement set forth below)
 - Descriptive title of the Invention
 - Cross References to Related Applications
 - Statement Regarding Fed sponsored R & D
 - Reference to Microfiche Appendix
 - Background of the Invention
 - Brief Summary of the Invention
 - Brief Description of the Drawings (if filed)
 - Detailed Description
 - Claim(s)
 - Abstract of the Disclosure
3. ☒ Drawing(s) (35 U.S.C. 113) [Total Sheets **3**]
4. Oath or Declaration [Total Pages **2**]
 - a. ☒ Newly executed (original or copy)
 - b. ☐ Copy from a prior application (37 C.F.R. § 1.63(d))
(for continuation/divisional with Box 16 completed)
 - i. ☐ DELETION OF INVENTOR(S)
Signed statement attached deleting inventor(s) named in the prior application, see 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b).

* NOTE FOR ITEMS 1 & 13: IN ORDER TO BE ENTITLED TO PAY ALL ENTITY FEES, A SMALL ENTITY STATEMENT IS REQUIRED (37 C.F.R. § 1.27), EXCEPT IF ONE FILED IN A PRIOR APPLICATION IS RELIED UPON (37 C.F.R. § 1.28).

ADDRESS TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Box Patent Application
Washington, DC 20231

5. ☐ Microfiche Computer Program (Appendix)
6. Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission (if applicable, all necessary)
 - a. ☐ Computer Readable Copy
 - b. ☐ Paper Copy (identical to computer copy)
 - c. ☐ Statement verifying identity of above copies

ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION PARTS

7. ☒ Assignment Papers (cover sheet & document(s))
8. ☐ 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b) Statement of Power of Attorney (when there is an assignee)
9. ☐ English Translation Document (if applicable)
10. ☐ Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)/PTO-1449 [Copies of IDS Citations]
11. ☐ Preliminary Amendment
12. ☒ Return Receipt Postcard (MPEP 503)
(Should be specifically itemized)
13. ☐ * Small Entity Statement filed in prior application, Status still proper and desired (PTO/SB/09-12)
14. ☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) (if foreign priority is claimed)
15. ☐ Other:

16. If a CONTINUING APPLICATION, check appropriate box, and supply the requisite information below and in a preliminary amendment:

☐ Continuation ☐ Divisional ☐ Continuation-in-part (CIP) of prior application No: _____

Prior application information: Examiner _____ Group / Art Unit: _____

For CONTINUATION or DIVISIONAL APPS only: The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which an oath or declaration is supplied under Box 4b, is considered a part of the disclosure of the accompanying continuation or divisional application and is hereby incorporated by reference. The incorporation can only be relied upon when a portion has been inadvertently omitted from the submitted application parts.

17. CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS

☐ Customer Number or Bar Code Label or ☒ Correspondence address below
(Insert Customer No. or Attach bar code label here)

Name **Frank J. Kozak, Esq.**
Navigation Technologies Corporation
Address **10400 West Higgins Road**
City **Rosemont** State **IL** Zip Code **60018**
Country **USA** Telephone **847/795-7371** Fax **847/699-8057**

Name (Print/Type) **Frank J. Kozak** Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) **32,908**
Signature *Frank J. Kozak* Date **Oct. 18, 2000**

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Patent Application, Washington, DC 20231.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2001

Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT

(\$)912⁰⁰

Complete if Known

Application Number	
Filing Date	OCT. 18, 2000
First Named Inventor	DORUM
Examiner Name	
Group Art Unit	
Attorney Docket No.	N0071US

METHOD OF PAYMENT

1. ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any overpayments to:

Deposit Account Number 50 0728
Deposit Account Name Navigation Technologies

☒ Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17

☐ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

2. ☐ Payment Enclosed:

☐ Check ☐ Credit card ☐ Money Order ☐ Other

FEE CALCULATION

1. BASIC FILING FEE

Large Entity Fee Code (\$)	Small Entity Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
101 710	201 355	Utility filing fee	<u>710</u>
106 320	206 160	Design filing fee	
107 490	207 245	Plant filing fee	
108 710	208 355	Reissue filing fee	
114 150	214 75	Provisional filing fee	

SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)710

2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES

Total Claims 29 - 20** = 9 X Fee from below 18 = Fee Paid 162
Independent Claims 2 - 3** = - X - = -
Multiple Dependent - X - = -

Large Entity Fee Code (\$)	Small Entity Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description
103 18	203 9	Claims in excess of 20
102 80	202 40	Independent claims in excess of 3
104 270	204 135	Multiple dependent claim, if not paid
109 80	209 40	** Reissue independent claims over original patent
110 18	210 9	** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent

SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)162

**or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above

FEE CALCULATION (continued)

3. ADDITIONAL FEES

Large Entity Fee Code (\$)	Small Entity Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
105 130	205 65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	
127 50	227 25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet	
139 130	139 130	Non-English specification	
147 2,520	147 2,520	For filing a request for <i>ex parte</i> reexamination	
112 920*	112 920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
113 1,840*	113 1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
115 110	215 55	Extension for reply within first month	
116 390	216 195	Extension for reply within second month	
117 890	217 445	Extension for reply within third month	
118 1,390	218 695	Extension for reply within fourth month	
128 1,890	228 945	Extension for reply within fifth month	
119 310	219 155	Notice of Appeal	
120 310	220 155	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
121 270	221 135	Request for oral hearing	
138 1,510	138 1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
140 110	240 55	Petition to revive - unavoidable	
141 1,240	241 620	Petition to revive - unintentional	
142 1,240	242 620	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
143 440	243 220	Design issue fee	
144 600	244 300	Plant issue fee	
122 130	122 130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
123 50	123 50	Petitions related to provisional applications	
126 240	126 240	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	
581 40	581 40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	<u>40⁰⁰</u>
146 710	246 355	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))	
149 710	249 355	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))	
179 710	279 355	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
169 900	169 900	Request for expedited examination of a design application	

Other fee (specify) _____

*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid

SUBTOTAL (3) (\$)40⁰⁰

SUBMITTED BY

Complete (if applicable)

Name (Print/Type)	Frank J. Kozak	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	32,908	Telephone	847/795-7371
Signature	<i>Frank J. Kozak</i>	Date	OCT. 18, 2000		

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

PATENT
Case No. N0071US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
APPLICATION FOR UNITED STATES LETTERS PATENT

INVENTORS: OLE HENRY DORUM
JOHN HANSON
LAWRENCE M. KAPLAN

TITLE: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR
UPDATING A GEOGRAPHIC
DATABASE USING SATELLITE
IMAGERY

ATTORNEYS: Frank J. Kozak
Lawrence M. Kaplan
NAVIGATION TECHNOLOGIES
CORPORATION
Rosemont, Illinois 60018
(847) 795-7000

1 SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR
2 UPDATING A GEOGRAPHIC DATABASE
3 USING SATELLITE IMAGERY
4

5 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

6 The present invention relates to a process for updating a geographic database and
7 more particularly, the present invention relates to a process and system that uses satellite
8 imagery for verifying and processing updates to a master copy of a geographic database.

9 Geographic databases have various uses. Geographic databases are used in
10 in-vehicle navigation systems, personal computers, networked computing environments,
11 and various other kinds of platforms, as well as on the Internet. Geographic databases are
12 used with various kinds of applications to provide various functions including map
13 display, route calculation, route guidance, truck fleet deployment, traffic control,
14 electronic yellow pages, emergency services, and so on.

15 In order to provide these kinds of functions, a geographic database includes data
16 that represent geographic features in a covered geographic region. Geographic databases
17 include details about represented geographic features, such as the geographic coordinates
18 of roads in a geographic region, speed limits along the road segments, locations of stop
19 lights, turn restrictions at intersections of roads, address ranges, street names, and so on.
20 Geographic databases may also include information about points of interest in covered
21 regions. Points of interest may include restaurants, hotels, airports, gas stations,
22 stadiums, police stations, and so on.

23 Collecting information for a geographic database is a significant task. According
24 to one method, technicians (referred to herein as "researchers") are assigned the task of
25 collecting data for a geographic database. Each researcher may physically travel
26 throughout an assigned area and record information about observed geographic features.
27 While in the field, the researcher may record the observed information by physically

1 writing on a printed copy of a map. For example, the researcher may write a description
 2 about an attribute of a geographic feature adjacent to the representation of the geographic
 3 feature on the map. Alternatively, the researcher may use a portable computer with a
 4 data collection program, as described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/256,389 or
 5 Ser. No. 09/335,122, the entire disclosures of which are incorporated herein by reference.
 6 After the data are collected in the field, the researcher uses a computer program to enter
 7 data to a main (or master) copy of the geographic database. Working from the marked-up
 8 printed copy of the map, the researcher makes additions and/or changes to the data in the
 9 master geographic database to reflect the actual geographic features observed by the
 10 researcher while out in the field.

11 Beyond the initial effort involved in collecting data for a geographic database,
 12 there is a continuing need to update and check the data in the geographic database. Just
 13 like conventional printed maps, geographic databases become out-of-date. For example,
 14 new streets are constructed, street names change, traffic lights are installed, turn
 15 restrictions are added to existing roads, businesses change locations, road construction
 16 closes roads, detours are established, museum and restaurant hours change, etc. Thus,
 17 there exists a need to continue to collect updated information for a geographic database
 18 and then add the updated information to the geographic database.

19 One useful way to collect information for updating the geographic database is to
 20 obtain feedback from end users who have navigation systems or who use on-line sites
 21 that use copies of the geographic database to provide navigation-related features. These
 22 end users may discover that certain geographic data are not correct or are inaccurate. A
 23 geographic database developer can take advantage of this situation by providing a means
 24 by which end users can report the database errors or inaccuracies that they encounter.
 25 The error reporting system also provides an opportunity for generating good will between
 26 the geographic database developer and the end user

27 End users can report perceived errors by telephone or by the Internet. An
 28 example of an error reporting Internet site can be found at
 29 www.navtech.com/database/data_driver.html. In addition, error reporting systems are

disclosed in the copending patent applications Ser. Nos. 09/590,213 and 09/602,127, the entire disclosures of which are incorporated by reference herein.

After receiving a report from an end user about a perceived error or inaccuracy in the geographic database, the geographic database developer confirms that the error exists and then determines the correct information to be added to the master copy of the database. Sometimes the error perceived by the end user is not due to incorrect data in the geographic database, but instead is due to other factors, such as an error in the navigation system software. In some cases, a geographic database researcher may have to travel to the location of the perceived error to obtain the correct information. After confirming reports from end users, the geographic database researcher can make corrections to a master copy of the geographic database, which is then used to make new, updated versions of geographic databases for use in navigation systems or by on-line provider of navigation-related services.

Although the process works well, there still exists room for improvement. For example, it is relatively time-consuming and expensive to have a geographic database researcher travel out to the field to check each reported error. Accordingly, there exists a need for improved ways to handle the reporting of errors in the geographic database and the updating of the master copy of the geographic database.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

To address these and other objectives, the present invention comprises a reporting program and system for updating a master copy of a geographic database. According to the method and system, reports are obtained that relate to data contained a geographic database. Satellite images of the geographic locations represented by the data in the reports are obtained. The satellite images are analyzed to confirm a need to make changes to the master copy of the geographic database based on the reports. After confirming the need to make the changes, the master copy of the geographic database is updated.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is a block diagram of a first embodiment of a system for updating a geographic database.

Figure 2 is a flow chart of steps performed by the system of Figure 1.

Figure 3 illustrates the display screen of the of the geographic database researcher's workstation shown in Figure 1.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PRESENTLY PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Referring to Figure 1, a geographic database developer 100 operates an error reporting system 102. The error reporting system 102 enables end users 104 (e.g., persons who obtain navigation-related or map-related services from navigation systems or from on-line providers of navigation services) to submit reports 106 relating to perceived errors that the end users discover in the geographic data used in the navigation-related or map-related services that are obtained from navigation systems or from on-line providers of navigation services. The reports 106 are used by the geographic database developer 100 to update a master copy 108 of the geographic database. After being updated, the master copy 108 of the geographic database is used to make derived geographic database products that are subsequently used in navigation systems or by on-line navigation services providers.

The error reporting system 102 may provide several different ways that the end users 104 can use to report errors. These ways include an on-line reporting program 112. The error reporting system 102 may include other ways to report errors, such as telephone-based error reporting and mail-based error reporting, described below.

Figure 1 shows the on-line error reporting program 112 operated by the geographic database developer 100. The on-line error reporting program 112 is located on a server 120 that is connected to a network 130, such as the Internet. End users 104 operate computing platforms 140 to access the on-line error reporting program 112. The computing platforms 140 used by the end users 104 can be any type of device or system that is able to access the server 120 over the network 130. For example, the computing platforms 140 include personal computers 140(1) and vehicle navigation systems 140(2).

1 The computing platforms 140 may also include other types of computing devices or
2 systems, such as personal digital assistants.

3 The computing platforms 140 used by the end users 104 include appropriate
4 software to access the server 120 over the network 130. If an end user uses a personal
5 computer 140(1) to access the server 120, the on-line reporting program 112 may be
6 accessed using an Internet browser. Other communications software may also be used.

7 As stated above, the end users 104 access the on-line reporting program 112 in
8 order to make reports about geographic data. In particular, the on-line reporting program
9 112 may be used by the geographic database developer 100 to obtain reports from the end
10 users 104 about perceived errors or inaccuracies in the geographic data used in the
11 navigation systems of the end users or by on-line provider sites that are used by the end
12 users to obtain navigation-related and map-related services. The perceived errors or
13 inaccuracies can be of any type and may be encountered in various different ways. One
14 of the ways that end users can encounter perceived errors or inaccuracies is during
15 operation of in-vehicle navigation systems. For example, while being guided to follow a
16 route to a destination, a navigation system may provide the end user with incorrect,
17 inaccurate or confusing guidance. According to this example, the navigation system may
18 advise the end user to "TURN LEFT AT THE NEXT INTERSECTION" but the next
19 intersection has a sign that prohibits left turns. According to another example, the
20 navigation system may identify a street by a wrong name.

21 There are various reasons why a navigation system may provide incorrect,
22 inaccurate or confusing guidance to an end user. One possible cause is that the
23 geographic data used by the navigation system may be incorrect. The geographic data
24 may be incorrect because of an error made when the data were being collected.
25 Alternatively, the geographic data used by the navigation system may be incorrect
26 because the physical feature represented by the geographic data changed since the time
27 the geographic data used by the end user's system was collected.

28 Another possible cause for an end user receiving incorrect, inaccurate or
29 confusing guidance from a navigation system can be an error in the software
30 programming in the navigation system. In this case, the geographic data may be correct

1 but the end user receives incorrect, inaccurate or confusing guidance because the
 2 software programming the navigation system does not use the geographic data properly.
 3 For example, if the navigation system software determines a vehicle's position
 4 incorrectly, a wrong street name may be provided thereby giving the end user the
 5 impression that the geographic data are incorrect when in fact the navigation
 6 programming caused the error.

7 Another reason why a navigation system may provide incorrect or confusing
 8 guidance is that the geographic data used by the navigation system may not include the
 9 attributes that are necessary to provide better instructions. For instance, the data
 10 representing roads located in some geographic areas may not contain one-way street
 11 information because this type of information has not yet been collected for those areas.
 12 Alternatively, the software programming in the navigation system may not consider one-
 13 way streets when providing driving directions.

14 As mentioned above, navigation-related and map-related services can also be
 15 obtained from on-line navigation services providers. End users may access on-line
 16 navigation services provider sites from their own personal computers or other platforms
 17 or appliances to obtain navigation-related and map-related services. An end user using an
 18 on-line navigation services provider site to obtain navigation-related and map-related
 19 services may also encounter incorrect, inaccurate or confusing information. On-line
 20 navigation services providers may use the same or similar geographic data and software
 21 programming that are used in vehicle navigation systems. Therefore, on-line navigation
 22 services provider sites may give incorrect, inaccurate or confusing navigation-related or
 23 map-related information for the same reasons as explained above in connection with
 24 vehicle navigation systems.

25 Regardless of the cause of a perceived error, the reporting program 112 provides a
 26 means by which a report about the perceived error can be obtained from an end user. As
 27 stated above, the end users 104 operate computing platforms 140 to access the reporting
 28 program 112. The computing platform 140 used by an end user to access the reporting
 29 program 112 may not necessarily be the same computing platform that the end user was
 30 using when the navigation-related or map-related information containing the perceived

1 error was obtained. For example, an end user may perceive an error with the geographic
 2 data used by his/her vehicle navigation system while driving in his/her vehicle, but then
 3 accesses the reporting program later at home using his/her personal computer with
 4 Internet access.

5 When the end user accesses the reporting program 112, the reporting program 110
 6 presents the user with the option of making a report about a geographic database. When
 7 the end user chooses this option, the reporting program 112 may request the end user to
 8 identify the version of the geographic database to which the report pertains. After the end
 9 user supplies information identifying the version of the database, the reporting program
 10 112 presents the end user with a means to describe the error. The reporting program 112
 11 may present the end user with a means to provide text input. The reporting program 112
 12 may also provide the end user with a graphical interface so that the end user can indicate
 13 a change graphically, e.g., by drawing on a map.

14 After the end user has completed the report, the reporting program 112 saves the
 15 end user's input in a report file database 248. The data in the report file database 248 are
 16 used in a geographic database updating process 300. The geographic database updating
 17 process 300 is performed by the geographic database developer. According to one
 18 embodiment, the process 300 is performed by a technician (i.e., a researcher) working for
 19 the geographic database developer 100. The researcher works on a computer workstation
 20 302 which may be any suitable networked computer hardware platform, such as a
 21 personal computer, a terminal, etc.

22 Figure 2 show the steps performed by the geographic database updating process
 23 300. The steps in Figure 2 may be performed by the researcher or alternatively, the steps
 24 may be performed by a computer program with input from the researcher.

25 The geographic database updating process 300 receives the data from the reports
 26 database 248 (Step 310). In one embodiment, a grouping step is performed (Step 312).
 27 According to this embodiment, a relatively large number of separate reports are analyzed
 28 to determine whether a significant number of them all relate to the same location. In a
 29 preferred embodiment, the grouping step is performed by a software routine that is part of
 30 the geographic database updating process. According to this embodiment, each report

106 identifies the geographic location of the reported error. The software routine identifies all the reports that relate to the same, or substantially the same, location. If a number of reports all relate to the same location, these reports may all relate to the same problem and therefore may be processed together. Also, if a number of reports all relate to the same area, they may be assigned a higher priority than reports that relate to isolated areas.

According to another step of the process 300, the subject matter of each report (or a grouping of more than one report) is analyzed to determine whether the type of error being reported can be confirmed using satellite imagery (Step 314). Some types of reported errors, such as addresses, street names, business names, or sign text, may not be suitable for confirmation by satellite imagery. Other types of reported errors are suitable for confirmation by satellite imagery. The types of reported errors that are suitable for confirmation by satellite imagery include, but are not limited to, road geometry, placement of roadway dividers, the number of roadway lanes, roadway lane widths, roadway traffic direction restrictions (e.g., one-way streets), turn restrictions along a road, whether a road is paved, road connectivity, the presence and locations of overpasses, bridges, tunnels, ramps, turn lanes, frontage roads, railroad tracks, railroad terminals, tollways, toll booths, road construction, train stations, ferries, border crossings, marinas, certain types of points of interest (e.g., amusement parks, golf courses, and stadiums), certain types of intersections (e.g., roundabouts), and possibly functional classes of roads. In addition, satellite imagery can also be used to confirm errors about types of geographic features, such as lakes, rivers, parks, and so on.

If a report relates to a type of error that is not suitable for confirmation by satellite imagery, the report is designated for confirmation by field inspection. These reports are forwarded to researchers who will travel out to the locations of the reported errors, confirm the errors, determine the appropriate corrections to make to the master copy of the geographic database, and then make the appropriate corrections.

After any grouping of reports occurs and any reports that are not suitable for confirmation by satellite imagery are excluded, a satellite image of the location of each of

1 the reported errors is obtained (Step 320). According to one embodiment, the satellite
2 images are high-resolution images that have an accuracy of approximately 1 meter.

3 An archive 322 of previously obtained satellite images is reviewed to determine
4 whether a recently obtained satellite image of the area that contains the reported error is
5 available (Step 324). The archive 322 may be maintained by the geographic database
6 developer 100. The archive 322 may be stored on a server that is accessible to the
7 developer's workstation (302 in Figure 1). In the archive 322, each satellite image is
8 associated with a date. The date indicates when the satellite image was taken. The date
9 of the satellite image is compared to an expiration schedule. The expiration schedule
10 relates a type of error with an age of a satellite image. For some types of reported errors,
11 the process 300 requires that the satellite image be relatively new, e.g., less than 30 days
12 old, whereas for other types of reported errors, a relatively older image (e.g., up to 12
13 months) will be suitable.

14 If a satellite image is available from the database developer's archive 322 and
15 meets the requirements for being recent enough, the satellite image 326 is provided to the
16 process 300 (Step 328). If the database developer's archive 322 does not have a satellite
17 image that contains the area of the reported error or if the satellite image in the archive
18 322 is too old, a new satellite image is obtained from a satellite image firm 330 (Step
19 332). (The satellite image firm may be a commercial firm, such as Earthwatch.) The
20 researcher may need to specify the area for the new satellite image or the area may be
21 automatically extracted from the report by the software included in the process 300. The
22 satellite image firm 330 may have the image taken. Alternatively, the satellite image firm
23 330 may be able to provide the image from its own archive if the image is new enough to
24 meet the requirements of the database developer's expiration schedule. The satellite
25 image firm may deliver the image to the researcher electronically, e.g., on-line via a
26 network, or may send an electronic or analog image by mail.

27 The process 300 obtains data 338 from the master copy 108 that relate to the area
28 of the reported error (Step 340). The data 338 from the master copy 108 are presented to
29 the database developer researcher, along with the satellite image 326 and the report
30 (Step 344). The data 338 from the master copy 108, the satellite image 326 and the

1 report may be presented to the researcher on a display screen of the workstation (302 in
2 Figure 1) used by the researcher to perform the updating process 300.

3 The data 338, the satellite image 326 and the report may be presented to the
4 researcher in various different ways. In one embodiment, the satellite image 326 is
5 presented to the researcher as a background and the data 338 from the master copy of the
6 geographic database 108 are overlaid on the image 326. The report may be displayed in a
7 window on the display screen. By overlaying the data 338 from the master copy of the
8 database over the satellite image 326, the researcher can determine whether the data 338
9 from the master copy of the geographic database correctly represent the actual geographic
10 features. The information from the report can be used to identify the perceived error and
11 also to indicate what the end user perceived the error to be.

12 Figure 3 shows an example of a display screen 350 of the researcher's
13 workstation 302. Shown as a background on the display screen 350 is the satellite image
14 326. The satellite image 326 shows portions of several roads. Overlaid on the satellite
15 image 326 are representations of several road segments 354. The representations of road
16 segments 354 are formed using the data 338 from the master copy of the geographic
17 database.

18 To assist the researcher, the position of the reported error may be located at the
19 center of the display screen 350. The position of the reported error may be highlighted or
20 a square, circle, or other shape may be generated about the position of the reported error.
21 Alternatively, the corresponding latitude and longitude may be displayed as the
22 researcher moves a cursor over the image so that the researcher can find the position of
23 the reported error.

24 In an alternative embodiment, instead of showing the representations of
25 geographic features from the data in the geographic database as an overlay on the satellite
26 image, the representations of geographic features from the data in the geographic
27 database can be shown side-by-side with the satellite image on the display screen of the
28 researcher's workstation.

29 Referring again to Figure 2, based on the satellite image 326, and possibly the
30 report 106, the researcher inputs a correction to the master copy of the geographic

1 database (Step 360). The input may relate to road geometry, i.e., that a road is not where
 2 the database represents it to be. The input may relate to lane dividers, number of
 3 roadway lanes, roadway lane widths, roadway traffic direction restrictions (e.g., one-way
 4 streets), turn restrictions along a road, whether a road is paved, or numerous other
 5 changes. In addition, satellite imagery can also be used to confirm changes about other
 6 types of geographic features, such as lakes, rivers, parks, or recreational areas (including
 7 golf courses, stadiums, and so on). Based on the input from the researcher, the master
 8 copy of the geographic database 108 is updated (Step 370). The updated master copy of
 9 the geographic is then used to make updated versions of derived geographic database
 10 products that are used in end users' navigation systems and by on-line providers of
 11 navigation-related and map-related services.

12 If a new satellite image was obtained (instead of using an image from the database
 13 developer's archive), a copy of the new satellite image is stored in the archive for
 14 possible future use.

15

16 Alternatives

17 In the embodiment described above, satellite images were obtained, as needed, to
 18 confirm reported errors received from end users. In an alternative embodiment, a
 19 complete collection of satellite images can be obtained for the entire coverage area of the
 20 master copy of the geographic database (or portions thereof). These images can be stored
 21 in an archive maintained by the geographic database developer. Then, when an image is
 22 needed to confirm a reported error, the image can be obtained immediately from the
 23 archive. Some or all the images stored in the archive can be periodically updated.

24 In one embodiment, a grid is defined that overlays the entire coverage area of the
 25 master copy of the geographic database. Then, when a satellite image is needed, the
 26 satellite image is obtained so that it corresponds to a cell of the grid. In this manner,
 27 satellite images are not obtained that substantially overlap each other, thereby making the
 28 process of obtaining satellite images more efficient.

29 As mentioned above, the geographic database developer operates a reporting
 30 system 102 that enables end users to make reports about perceived errors in the

1 geographic data. In the embodiment described above, the reporting system 102 includes
 2 an on-line reporting program (112 in Figure 1) that enables end users to make reports via
 3 a network using their computer platforms. In an alternative embodiment, end users can
 4 make reports about geographic data by means other than over a network. For example,
 5 an end user may make a report by telephone. According to this alternative, the end user
 6 uses a telephone to report an error to a human operator. The human operator obtains the
 7 necessary information from the end user and submits a report which is then handled in a
 8 manner similar to the reports that are submitted using the on-line reporting program (102
 9 in Figure 1), described above. Alternatively, the end user uses a telephone to report an
 10 error to a telephone reporting program that prompts the end user to make a report using
 11 the keys of the telephone. According to another alternative, the end user uses a telephone
 12 to dictate a description of the error on a recording device (such as an answering machine)
 13 that is operated by the database developer. An employee of the database developer then
 14 listens to the message and generates a report that is handled in a manner similar to the
 15 reports that are submitted using the on-line reporting program.

16 In another alternative, the end user may submit a report by mail. A report
 17 submitted by mail may be transcribed into electronic form and processed in the manner
 18 described above.

19
 20 It is intended that the foregoing detailed description be regarded as illustrative
 21 rather than limiting and that it is understood that the following claims including all
 22 equivalents are intended to define the scope of the invention.

1 WE CLAIM:

2 1. A method for updating a master copy of a geographic database

3 comprising:

4 obtaining satellite images of areas based upon reports about errors in data that
5 represent geographic features located in said areas; and

6 determining from the satellite images appropriate changes to make to the master
7 copy of the geographic database to correct said errors in said data that represent said
8 geographic features.

9

10 2. The method of Claim 1 further comprising:

11 prior to the step of obtaining, grouping reports about errors that relate to the same
12 geographic feature.

13

14 3. The method of Claim 1 further comprising:

15 prior to the step of obtaining, grouping reports about errors that relate to the same
16 geographic feature so that only one satellite image needs to be obtained to determine the
17 appropriate change to make to the master copy of the geographic database with respect to
18 the data that represent that geographic feature.

19

20 4. The method of Claim 1 further comprising:

21 prior to the step of obtaining, grouping reports about errors that relate to
22 geographic features that are located close to each other so that only one satellite image
23 needs to be obtained to determine the appropriate changes to make to the master copy of
24 the geographic database with respect to the data that represent those geographic features.

25

26 5. The method of Claim 1 further comprising:

27 assigning a higher priority to reports about errors that relate to the same
28 geographic feature.

29

1 6. A method of updating a master copy of a geographic database comprising:
 2 obtaining a report relating a geographic location;
 3 obtaining a satellite image of the geographic location;
 4 analyzing the satellite image to determine how to update the master copy of the
 5 geographic database; and
 6 updating the master copy of the geographic database.

7
 8 7. The method of Claim 6 wherein the satellite image is obtained by ordering
 9 from a commercial satellite image provider.

10
 11 8. The method of Claim 6 further comprising the step of:
 12 prior to obtaining the satellite image, confirming that a current satellite image is
 13 not available in a satellite image archive; and then ordering the satellite image from a
 14 commercial satellite image provider.

15
 16 9. The method of Claim 6 wherein the satellite image has at least
 17 approximately 1 meter accuracy.

18
 19 10. The method of Claim 6 further comprising the step of:
 20 after the step of obtaining the satellite image, displaying the satellite image at a
 21 workstation of a geographic database developer.

22
 23 11. The method of Claim 10 further comprising:
 24 indicating a position on the satellite image being displayed wherein the position
 25 corresponds to the reported geographic location.

26
 27 12. The method of Claim 6 further comprising:
 28 indicating latitude and longitude coordinates corresponding to a position of a
 29 movable cursor.

1 13. The method of Claim 6 further comprising:
2 while displaying the satellite image at a workstation of a geographic database
3 researcher, displaying geographic features represented by data contained in the master
4 copy of the geographic database at a workstation indicating a position on the satellite
5 image being displayed wherein the position corresponds to the reported geographic
6 location.

7
8 14. The method of Claim 13 further comprising:
9 overlaying the geographic features represented by the data contained in the master
10 copy of the geographic database over the satellite image being displayed at the
11 workstation.

12
13 15. The method of Claim 13 further comprising:
14 displaying the geographic features represented by the data contained in the master
15 copy of the geographic database and the satellite image side-by-side on the workstation.

16
17 16. The method of Claim 10 further comprising:
18 while displaying the satellite image at a workstation of a geographic database
19 developer, displaying the report submitted by an end user including explanatory text
20 provided therewith.

21
22 17. The method of Claim 6 further comprising:
23 providing an on-line program accessible to the end users; and
24 with the on-line program, allowing the end user to submit the report.

25
26 18. The method of Claim 6 wherein said satellite image is obtained from an
27 archive.

28

- 1 19. The method of Claim 18 further comprising:
2 prior to analyzing said satellite image, confirming that said satellite image was
3 taken recently enough depending on a type of updating to be made to said master copy of
4 said geographic database.
5
- 6 20. The method of Claim 6 wherein the satellite image is obtained from a
7 central server of a geographic database developer.
8
- 9 21. The method of Claim 6 further comprising:
10 defining a grid that overlays a geographic coverage area corresponding to said
11 geographic database, wherein said satellite image corresponds to a cell of said grid.
12
- 13 22. The method of Claim 6 wherein the report relates to roadway geometry.
14
- 15 23. The method of Claim 6 wherein the report relates to a placement of a
16 roadway divider.
17
- 18 24. The method of Claim 6 wherein the report relates to a number of roadway
19 lanes.
20
- 21 25. The method of Claim 6 wherein the report relates to roadway lane widths.
22
- 23 26. The method of Claim 6 wherein the report relates to roadway direction
24 restrictions.
25
- 26 27. The method of Claim 6 wherein the report relates to a turn restriction
27 along a road.
28
- 29 28. The method of Claim 6 wherein the report relates to whether a road is
30 paved.

29. The method of Claim 6 wherein the report relates to a lake, river, park, or recreational area.

ABSTRACT

A reporting program and system for updating a master copy of a geographic database is disclosed. According to the method and system, reports are obtained that relate to data contained in a geographic database. Satellite images of the geographic locations represented by the data in the reports are obtained. The satellite images are analyzed to confirm a need to make changes to the master copy of the geographic database based on the reports. After confirming the need to make the changes, the master copy of the geographic database is updated.




FIG. 1





FIG. 3



SATELLITE
IMAGE AS
BACKGROUND

● — ● - representation of road
segment using data
from master copy of
geographic database

DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled, entitled SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR UPDATING A GEOGRAPHIC DATABASE USING SATELLITE IMAGERY, the specification of which:

- ☒ is attached hereto.
- ☐ was filed on _____ as Application Serial No. _____.
- ☐ and was amended on _____ (if applicable).

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or § 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or § 365(a) of any PCT International application which designated at least one country other than the United States, listed below and have also identified below, by checking the box, any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate, or PCT International application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s)Priority Claimed

_____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

_____	_____
(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 120 of any United States application(s), or § 365(c) of any PCT International application designating the United States, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT International application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR § 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT International filing date of this application:

_____	_____	None
(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status-patented, pending, abandoned)

As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following registered practitioners to prosecute said patent application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:


Frank J. Kozak (Reg. No. 32,908)
Lawrence M. Kaplan (Reg. No. 33,521)

Direct all correspondence for the above-identified application and telephone calls to:


FRANK J. KOZAK
Chief Patent Counsel
Navigation Technologies Corporation
10400 West Higgins Road
Rosemont, Illinois 60018
voice: (847) 795-7000 x7371
fax: (847) 699-8057

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.


Inventor's Signature
Full name of first inventor
Residence
Citizenship
Post Office Address

 Date: 10/13-2000
OLE HENRY DORUM
CHICAGO, IL
NORWEGIAN
910 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVE UNIT 811, CHICAGO IL
60605

Inventor's Signature
Full name of co-inventor
Residence
Citizenship
Post Office Address

 Date: 16 OCT 2000
JOHN HANSON
Citrus Heights, California
USA
6719 Bix Avenue, Citrus Heights, Ca. 95621

Inventor's Signature
Full name of co-inventor
Residence
Citizenship
Post Office Address

 Date: 10/13/00
LAWRENCE M. KAPLAN
Northbrook, Illinois
USA
2129 Clover Road, Northbrook, Illinois 60062

rev. Feb. 1999